IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES MCLAUGHLIN, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 08-4979

Petitioner,

:

V. :

ROBERT SHANNON, et al,

•

Respondent.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 10th day of August, 2010, for the reasons provided in the accompanying Memorandum, it is hereby ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to stay and abey (doc. no. 22) is DENIED. As such, Petitioner's § 2554 "mixed" habeas petition, (doc. no. 1) will be DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability shall not issue and that this case shall be marked CLOSED.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Eduardo C. Robreno, J. EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

A prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of his petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1). Rather, a district court must first issue a certificate of appealability (COA). Id. "A [COA] may issue . . . only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." Id. at § 2253(c)(2). To make such a showing, petitioner "must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," Tennard v. Dretke, 542 U.S. 274, 282 (2004) (quoting Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)), or that "the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.'" Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 335-36 (2003) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4 (1983)). Petitioner has not made the requisite showing in these circumstances.